Subject: Re: [PATCH 00/10] memcg kmem limitation - slab. Posted by Glauber Costa on Tue, 31 Jul 2012 16:39:30 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 07/31/2012 08:30 PM, Frederic Weisbecker wrote:

- > On Wed, Jul 25, 2012 at 06:38:11PM +0400, Glauber Costa wrote:
- >> Hi,

>>

- >> This is the slab part of the kmem limitation mechanism in its last form. I
- >> would like to have comments on it to see if we can agree in its form. I
- >> consider it mature, since it doesn't change much in essence over the last
- >> forms. However, I would still prefer to defer merging it and merge the
- >> stack-only patchset first (even if inside the same merge window). That patchset
- >> contains most of the infrastructure needed here, and merging them separately
- >> would not only reduce the complexity for reviewers, but allow us a chance to >> have independent testing on them both. I would also likely benefit from some
- >> have independent testing on them both. I would also likely benefit from some
- >> extra testing, to make sure the recent changes didn't introduce anything bad.

>

- > What is the status of the stack-only limitation patchset BTW? Does anybody oppose
- > to its merging?
- >
- > Thanks.

>

Andrew said he would like to see the slab patches in a relatively mature state first.

I do believe they are in such a state. There are bugs, that I am working on - but I don't see anything that would change them significantly at this point.

If Andrew is happy with what he saw in this thread, I could post those again.