Subject: Re: [PATCH] SUNRPC: return negative value in case rpcbind client creation error

Posted by Stanislav Kinsbursky on Tue, 31 Jul 2012 07:46:33 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
> On Fri, 2012-07-20 at 15:57 +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:
>> Without this patch kernel will panic on LockD start, because lockd_up() checks
>> lockd up net() result for negative value.
>> > From my pow it's better to return negative value from rpcbind routines instead
>> of replacing all such checks like in lockd up().
>>
>> Signed-off-by: Stanislav Kinsbursky <skinsbursky@parallels.com>
>> ---
>> net/sunrpc/rpcb_clnt.c |
   1 files changed, 2 insertions(+), 2 deletions(-)
>> diff --git a/net/sunrpc/rpcb_clnt.c b/net/sunrpc/rpcb_clnt.c
>> index 92509ff..a70acae 100644
>> --- a/net/sunrpc/rpcb clnt.c
>> +++ b/net/sunrpc/rpcb clnt.c
>> @ @ -251,7 +251,7 @ @ static int rpcb create local unix(struct net *net)
    if (IS_ERR(cInt)) {
     dprintk("RPC:
                        failed to create AF_LOCAL rpcbind "
>>
       "client (errno %ld).\n", PTR_ERR(clnt));
>> - result = -PTR ERR(clnt);
>> + result = PTR_ERR(clnt);
     goto out;
    }
>>
>>
>> @ @ -298,7 +298,7 @ @ static int rpcb create local net(struct net *net)
    if (IS_ERR(clnt)) {
>>
     dprintk("RPC:
                       failed to create local rpcbind "
>>
       "client (errno %ld).\n", PTR_ERR(clnt));
>> - result = -PTR_ERR(clnt);
>> + result = PTR ERR(clnt);
     goto out;
>>
>>
    }
> Who is supposed to carry this patch? Is it Bruce or is it me?
>
```

I don't know, Trond. It's up to you and Bruce.

This is a bug fix and the bug is very old. The only reason, why it was found just now, is that all the callers of these functions were checking the result for zero.

And I agreed with Bruce, that is have to marked for stable branches (at least for 3.4-3.5 kernels).

Best regards, Stanislav Kinsbursky