

---

Subject: Re: Re: containers and cgroups mini-summit @ Linux Plumbers

Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Thu, 26 Jul 2012 19:38:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Serge Hallyn <[serge.hallyn@canonical.com](mailto:serge.hallyn@canonical.com)> writes:

> (Sorry, please disregard my last email :)

>

> Yes, what we do now in ubuntu quantal is the bind mounts you mention,  
> and only optionally (using a startup hook).

> Each container is brought up in say

> /sys/fs/cgroup/devices/lxc/container1/container1.real, and that dir is

> bind-mounted under /sys/fs/cgroup/devices in the guest. The guest

> is not allowed to mount cgroup fs himself.

>

> It's certainly not ideal (and in cases where cgroup allows you to

> raise your own limits, worthless). The 'fake cgroup root' has been

> mentioned before to address this. Definately worth discussing.

It is going to be interesting to see how all of the unprivileged operations work when the user-namespaces start allowing unprivileged users to do things (3.7 timeframe I hope).

I can see it making things both easier and harder. I would hope not actually being root will make it easier to keep from raising your own limits.

Running some operations as non-root will catch other places off guard where people were definitely expecting nothing of the kind.

There are a couple of networking memory limits exposed through sysctl that I don't expect we want everyone changing, that I need to figure out how to separate out from the rest. A concept that hasn't existed before.

Eric

---