Subject: Re: containers and cgroups mini-summit @ Linux Plumbers Posted by Glauber Costa on Wed, 25 Jul 2012 10:00:41 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 07/25/2012 02:00 PM, Eric W. Biederman wrote: > Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com> writes: > >> On 07/12/2012 01:41 AM, Kir Kolyshkin wrote: >>> Gentlemen, >>> >>> We are organizing containers mini-summit during next Linux Plumbers (San >>> Diego, August 29-31). >>> The idea is to gather and discuss everything relevant to namespaces, >>> cgroups, resource management, >>> checkpoint-restore and so on. >>> >>> We are trying to come up with a list of topics to discuss, so please >>> reply with topic suggestions, and >>> let me know if you are going to come. >>> >>> I probably forgot a few more people (such as, I am not sure who else >>> from Google is working >>> on cgroups stuff), so fill free to forward this to anyone you believe >> should go. >>> or just let me know whom I missed. >>> >>> Regards, >>> Kir. >> >> BTW, sorry for not replying before (vacations + post-vacations laziness) >> >> I would be interested in adding /proc virtualization to the discussion. >> By now it seems userspace would be the best place for that to happen, in >> a fuse overlay. I know Daniel has an initial implementation of that, and >> it would be good to have it as library that both OpenVZ and LXC (and >> whoever else wants) can use. >> >> Shouldn't take much time... > > What would you need proc virtualization for? >

proc provides a lot of information that userspace tools rely upon. For instance, when running top, you can draw per-process figures from what we have now, but you can't make sense of percentages without aggregating container-wide information.

When you read /proc/cpuinfo, as well, you would expect to see something

that matches your container configuration.

"free" is another example. The list go on.

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum