Subject: Re: Fork bomb limitation in memcg WAS: Re: [PATCH 00/11] kmem controller for memcg: stripped down ve Posted by Glauber Costa on Tue, 03 Jul 2012 11:38:39 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 06/29/2012 02:25 AM, Andrew Morton wrote:

> On Thu, 28 Jun 2012 13:01:23 +0400

> Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com> wrote:

>

>>

>> ...

>> >

> OK, that all sounds convincing ;) Please summarise and capture this

> discussion in the [patch 0/n] changelog so we (or others) don't have to

> go through this all again. And let's remember this in the next

> patchset!

Thanks, will surely do.

>> Last, but not least, note that it is totally within my interests to
>> merge the slab tracking as fast as we can. it'll be a matter of going
>> back to it, and agreeing in the final form.

>

> Yes, I'd very much like to have the whole slab implementation in a

> reasonably mature state before proceeding too far with this base

> patchset.

Does that means that you want to merge them together? I am more than happy to post the slab part again ontop of that to have people reviewing it.

But if possible, I believe that merging this part first would help us to split up testing in a beneficial way, in the sense that if it breaks, we know at least in which part it is. Not to mention, of course, that reviewers will have an easier time reviewing it as two pieces.

Page 1 of 1 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum