
Subject: Re: [PATCH 11/11] protect architectures where THREAD_SIZE >= PAGE_SIZE against fork bombs

Posted by [Frederic Weisbecker](#) on Tue, 26 Jun 2012 13:38:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, Jun 26, 2012 at 04:48:08PM +0400, Glauber Costa wrote:

> On 06/25/2012 10:38 PM, Tejun Heo wrote:

> > On Mon, Jun 25, 2012 at 06:55:35PM +0200, Frederic Weisbecker wrote:

> > > On 06/25/2012 04:15 PM, Glauber Costa wrote:

> > >

> > > > Because those architectures will draw their stacks directly from

> > > > the page allocator, rather than the slab cache, we can directly

> > > > pass __GFP_KMEMCG flag, and issue the corresponding free_pages.

> > > >

> > > > This code path is taken when the architecture doesn't define

> > > > CONFIG_ARCH_THREAD_INFO_ALLOCATOR (only ia64 seems to), and has

> > > > THREAD_SIZE >= PAGE_SIZE. Luckily, most - if not all - of the

> > > > remaining architectures fall in this category.

> > > >

> > > > This will guarantee that every stack page is accounted to the memcg

> > > > the process currently lives on, and will have the allocations to fail

> > > > if they go over limit.

> > > >

> > > > For the time being, I am defining a new variant of THREADINFO_GFP, not

> > > > to mess with the other path. Once the slab is also tracked by memcg,

> > > > we can get rid of that flag.

> > > >

> > > > Tested to successfully protect against :(){ :|:& };;

> > > >

> > > > Signed-off-by: Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com>

> > > > CC: Christoph Lameter <cl@linux.com>

> > > > CC: Pekka Enberg <penberg@cs.helsinki.fi>

> > > > CC: Michal Hocko <mhocko@suse.cz>

> > > > CC: Kamezawa Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>

> > > > CC: Johannes Weiner <hannes@cmpxchg.org>

> > > > CC: Suleiman Souhlal <suleiman@google.com>

> > >

> > >

> > > Aacked-by: Frederic Weisbecker <fweisbec@redhat.com>

> >

> > Frederic, does this (with proper slab accounting added later) achieve

> > what you wanted with the task counter?

> >

>

> A note: Frederic may confirm, but I think he doesn't even need

> the slab accounting to follow to achieve that goal.

Limiting is enough. But that requires internal accounting.
