
Subject: Re: [PATCH 03/11] memcg: change defines to an enum
Posted by [Glauber Costa](#) on Tue, 26 Jun 2012 08:28:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 06/26/2012 08:11 AM, David Rientjes wrote:

> On Mon, 25 Jun 2012, Glauber Costa wrote:

>
>> diff --git a/mm/memcontrol.c b/mm/memcontrol.c
>> index 8e601e8..9352d40 100644
>> --- a/mm/memcontrol.c
>> +++ b/mm/memcontrol.c
>> @@ -387,9 +387,12 @@ enum charge_type {
>> };
>>
>> /* for encoding cft->private value on file */
>> -#define _MEM (0)
>> -#define _MEMSWAP (1)
>> -#define _OOM_TYPE (2)
>> +enum res_type {
>> + _MEM,
>> + _MEMSWAP,
>> + _OOM_TYPE,
>> +};
>> +
>> #define MEMFILE_PRIVATE(x, val) ((x) << 16 | (val))
>> #define MEMFILE_TYPE(val) ((val) >> 16 & 0xffff)
>> #define MEMFILE_ATTR(val) ((val) & 0xffff)
>
> Shouldn't everything that does MEMFILE_TYPE() now be using type
> enum res_type rather than int?
>
If you mean the following three fields, no, since they are masks and
operations.

If you mean something else, what do you mean ?
