
Subject: Re: [PATCH] NFS: hard-code init_net for NFS callback transports
Posted by [bfields](#) on Wed, 13 Jun 2012 11:15:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, Jun 13, 2012 at 12:16:07PM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:

> >On Mon, Jun 11, 2012 at 08:37:18AM -0400, J. Bruce Fields wrote:
> >>On Fri, Jun 01, 2012 at 01:55:47PM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:
> >>>This patch set is a bug fix for Bruce's 3.5 branch.
> >>>I'll rebase this for 3.4 and resend.
> >>
> >>Apologies for neglecting this, I'm done with the bakeathon and should be
> >>back to this soon.
> >>
> >>But, I'm a bit confused: you say you'll rebase this, but I've only seen
> >>two rebased patches for 3.4, and neither looks like a simple rebase of
> >>this one.
> >
> >Oh, I see; the backport of "SUNRPC: new svc_bind() routine introduced"
> >is a combination of the patch by the same name upstream with this one.
> >
> >In future for backports I'd rather keep a one-to-one correspondance
> >between the original patches and the backported patches. (Even if that
> >means 4.2 might not be as "bisectable".)
> >
> >Also, get this patch into stable by just adding a "cc:
> >stable@vger.kernel.org" with the signed-off at the end of this patch.
> >
> >I've gone ahead and fixed that up; results in the "for-3.4" and
> >"for-3.5" branches of
> >
> > git://linux-nfs.org/~bfields/linux-topics.git
> >
> >Let me know if anything looks wrong.
> >
>
>
> Hi, Bruce. Thanks for you time and guidance with this patch set.
> But I'm a bit confused - what I have to do now? Take the patches
> from your 3.4 branch and send them to "stable"?

No, I'll take care of them.--b.

--b.
