

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH] allow a task to join a pid namespace

Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Tue, 05 Jun 2012 17:18:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Oleg Nesterov <[oleg@redhat.com](mailto:oleg@redhat.com)> writes:

> On 06/04, Glauber Costa wrote:

>>

>> Currently, it is possible for a process to join existing  
>> net, uts and ipc namespaces. This patch allows a process to join an  
>> existing pid namespace as well.

>

> I can't understand this patch... but probably I missed something,

> I never really understood setns.

The idea with setns is akin to callusermodehelper in the kernel.

>From outside a container we want to allow an appropriately privileged user to create a process inside the container.

We run into all kinds of interesting gotchas with entering the pid namespace:

- Disjoint process trees.
- Ensuring all processes are gone when we exit a pid namespace.
- Not letting an empty pid namespace accept more processes.

We really only have two possibilities here.

- Allocate a new struct pid that is a superset of our current struct pid but having additional processes ids inside a new pid namespace.

Along with all of the appropriate sanity checks to make that safe.

- Just modify the pid namespace the child processes of setns will use.

I lean towards the second option as that seems to have the best semantic match to practical applications, and fewer kernel races to contend with, but I might be persuadable.

However we do this we need to fix the bugs in pid namespace cleanup, and deal with the issues that disjoint process trees bring to waiting for all processes in a pid namespace to exit.

Ugh. Getting the waking up of zap\_pid\_ns\_processes right and handling the reaping of zombies in the cases of disjoint process trees is going to be interesting.

Eric

---