Subject: Re: [PATCH v3 3/6] expose fine-grained per-cpu data for cpuacct stats Posted by Glauber Costa on Wed, 30 May 2012 12:20:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On 05/30/2012 03:24 PM, Paul Turner wrote:
>> +static int cpuacct_stats_percpu_show(struct cgroup *cgrp, struct cftype *cft,
                             struct cgroup_map_cb *cb)
>> > +
>> > +{
           struct cpuacct *ca = cgroup_ca(cgrp);
>> > +
           int cpu;
>> > +
>> > +
           for each online cpu(cpu) {
                do_fill_cb(cb, ca, "user", cpu, CPUTIME_USER);
>> > +
                do_fill_cb(cb, ca, "nice", cpu, CPUTIME_NICE);
>> > +
                do_fill_cb(cb, ca, "system", cpu, CPUTIME_SYSTEM);
                do_fill_cb(cb, ca, "irq", cpu, CPUTIME_IRQ);
>> > +
                do fill_cb(cb, ca, "softirq", cpu, CPUTIME_SOFTIRQ);
                do_fill_cb(cb, ca, "guest", cpu, CPUTIME_GUEST);
                do fill cb(cb, ca, "quest nice", cpu, CPUTIME GUEST NICE);
>> > +
>> > +
           }
>> > +
> I don't know if there's much that can be trivially done about it but I
> suspect these are a bit of a memory allocation time-bomb on a many-CPU
> machine. The cgroup:seq_file mating (via read_map) treats everything
> as/one/ record. This means that seq_printf is going to end up
> eventually allocating a buffer that can fit_everything_ (as well as
> every power-of-2 on the way there). Adding insult to injury is that
> that the backing buffer is kmalloc() not vmalloc().
>
> 200+ bytes per-cpu above really is not unreasonable (46 bytes just for
> the text, plus a byte per base 10 digit we end up reporting), but that
> then leaves us looking at order-12/13 allocations just to print this
> thing when there are O(many) cpus.
```

And how's /proc/stat different?

It will suffer from the very same problems, since it also have this very same information (actually more, since I am skipping some), per-cpu.

Now, if you guys are okay with a file per-cpu, I can do it as well. It pollutes the filesystem, but at least protects against the fact that this is kmalloc-backed.