
Subject: [PATCH v3 08/28] res_counter: don't force return value checking in
res_counter_charge_nofail

Posted by [Glauber Costa](#) on Fri, 25 May 2012 13:03:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Since we will succeed with the allocation no matter what, there
isn't the need to use __must_check with it. It can very well
be optional.

Signed-off-by: Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com>

Acked-by: Kamezawa Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>

CC: Johannes Weiner <hannes@cmpxchg.org>

CC: Michal Hocko <mhocko@suse.cz>

include/linux/res_counter.h | 2 +-
1 files changed, 1 insertions(+), 1 deletions(-)

diff --git a/include/linux/res_counter.h b/include/linux/res_counter.h

index da81af0..f7621cf 100644

--- a/include/linux/res_counter.h

+++ b/include/linux/res_counter.h

@@ -119,7 +119,7 @@ int __must_check res_counter_charge_locked(struct res_counter
*counter,

unsigned long val);

int __must_check res_counter_charge(struct res_counter *counter,
unsigned long val, struct res_counter **limit_fail_at);

-int __must_check res_counter_charge_nofail(struct res_counter *counter,

+int res_counter_charge_nofail(struct res_counter *counter,
unsigned long val, struct res_counter **limit_fail_at);

/*

--

1.7.7.6
