

Hi,

?? 22.5.2012 ?. 13:27 ?., Rene C. ??????:

> Hi Sirk,

>

>

> If you want some practical information on ploop: We are using it in a
> highly productive environment.

> It was either, try ploop and hope it works, or have the systems fail
> every 2nd day.

> So we decided to use ploop and are more than happy.

> It even solves a lot of issues we had with the private areas directly
> on the nfs share.

> But of course, thats totally up to you.

> I started with only a few "unimportant" CTs and then merged everything
> after a while (42 CTs).

>

>

> Thanks for the info, much appreciated!

>

> Maybe a little off topic, but I am curious to know: At the moment I

> find it very convenient to go directly into a containers filesystem

> from the hardware node - i.e. something like

> /vz/private/xxx/var/log/... etc - Would I be correct in presuming

> that by using ploop this will no longer be possible? I know I could

> just setup a test system and try it out but if you know already it

> would save me some time ;)

>

It's not very practical to access the containers from the VZ/private
mount point, as it breaks for example the quota stats of the container.

If you still want to do things there better go for the VZ/root mount
point. (Advice given to me by one of the now-a-days developer of
Viruozzo) And as you already mentioned ploop, as far as I know the
ploop-container will be mounted to VZ/root of the CT and you'll still
have access to the info in there.

>

> So you have a different FileSystem for the "problem"-Container that is
> even on a different disk ?

> If that is the case, this CT should not affect the others at all
> in terms of IO.

>

>

> Indeed, this is the only container on that filesystem and that

> physical drive. This time there were no "spill over" but previous
> times when load hit 50 or more the load certainly did spill into
> other containers.
> Best,
> Rene
>
>
