Subject: Re: [PATCH v5 2/2] decrement static keys on real destroy time Posted by Glauber Costa on Wed, 16 May 2012 08:37:32 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 05/16/2012 12:28 PM, KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki wrote: > (2012/05/16 16:04), Glauber Costa wrote: > >> On 05/16/2012 10:03 AM, Glauber Costa wrote: >>>> BTW, what is the relationship between 1/2 and 2/2 ? >>> Can't do jump label patching inside an interrupt handler. They need to >>> happen when we free the structure, and I was about to add a worker >>> myself when I found out we already have one: just we don't always use it. >>> >>> Before we merge it, let me just make sure the issue with config Li >>> pointed out don't exist. I did test it, but since I've reposted this >>> many times with multiple tiny changes - the type that will usually get >>> us killed, I'd be more comfortable with an extra round of testing if >>> someone spotted a possibility. >>> >>> Who is merging this fix, btw? >>> I find it to be entirely memcg related, even though it touches a file in >>> net (but a file with only memcg code in it) >>> >> >> For the record, I compiled test it many times, and the problem that Li >> wondered about seems not to exist. >> > > Ah...Hmm.....I guess dependency problem will be found in -mm if any rather than > netdev... > > David, can this bug-fix patch goes via -mm tree ? Or will you pick up ? > Another thing: Patch 2 in this series is of course dependent on patch 1

Another thing: Patch 2 in this series is of course dependent on patch 1 - which lives 100 % in memcg core. Without that, lockdep will scream while disabling the static key.