
Subject: Re: [PATCH v2 04/29] slub: always get the cache from its page in kfree
Posted by [Glauber Costa](#) on Fri, 11 May 2012 19:11:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 05/11/2012 04:09 PM, Christoph Lameter wrote:

> On Fri, 11 May 2012, Glauber Costa wrote:

>

>> On 05/11/2012 03:56 PM, Christoph Lameter wrote:

>>> On Fri, 11 May 2012, Glauber Costa wrote:

>>>

>>>> So we don't mix pages from multiple memcgs in the same cache - we believe

>>>> that

>>>> would be too confusing.

>>>

>>> Well subsystem create caches and other things that are shared between

>>> multiple processes. How can you track that?

>>

>> Each process that belongs to a memcg triggers the creation of a new child kmem

>> cache.

>

> I see that. But there are other subsystems from slab allocators that do

> the same. There are also objects that may be used by multiple processes.

This is also true for normal user pages. And then, we do what memcg does: first one to touch, gets accounted. I don't think deviating from the memcg behavior for user pages makes much sense here.

A cache won't go away while it still have objects, even after the memcg is removed (it is marked as dead)

> F.e what about shm?

>

>>>> /proc/slabinfo reflects this information, by listing the memcg-specific

>>>> slabs.

>>>

>>> What about /sys/kernel/slab/*?

>>

>> From the PoV of the global system, what you'll see is something like:

>> dentry , dentry(2:memcg1), dentry(2:memcg2), etc.

>

> Hmm.. Would be better to have a hierachy there. /proc/slabinfo is more

> legacy.

I can take a look at that then. Assuming you agree with all the rest, is looking into that a pre-requisite for merging, or is something that can be deferred for a phase2 ? (We still don't do shrinkers, for instance, so this is sure to have a phase2)
