
Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/3] SUNRPC: check rpcbind clients usage counter before decrement

Posted by [bfields](#) on Mon, 30 Apr 2012 21:45:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, Apr 27, 2012 at 06:08:13PM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:

> On 27.04.2012 17:55, J. Bruce Fields wrote:

> > On Wed, Apr 25, 2012 at 05:37:49PM +0400, Stanislav Kinsbursky wrote:

> > > Registering service with svc_bind() can fail. In this case service will be

> > > destroyed and during destruction it will try to unregister itself from rpcbind.

> > > In this case unregister have to be skipped.

> >

> > Isn't this a preexisting bug, in which case perhaps Trond should at it

> > to his list of bugs to submit now?

> >

>

> Not it's not.

>

> Previously, in case of bind operations failure, rpcb_put_local()

> wasn't called, but service data was destroyed instead:

>

> - if (svc_uses_rpcbind(serv)) {

> - if (svc_rpcb_setup(serv, current->nsproxy->net_ns) < 0) {

> - kfree(serv->sv_pools);

> - kfree(serv);

> - return NULL;

> - }

> - if (!serv->sv_shutdown)

> - serv->sv_shutdown = svc_rpcb_cleanup;

> - }

>

> Now (with svc_bind() introduction) service can be created, but

> svc_bind() could fail. And thus svc_destroy() have to be called.

> Which will call rpcb_put_local().

>

> And the problem here that svc_bind() can fail before incrementing of

> rpcb usage counter.

OK, but then you're fixing a bug that you just introduced with the previous patch.

So this should be combined with the previous patch.

--b.

>

> >--b.

> >

```

> >>
> >>Signed-off-by: Stanislav Kinsbursky<skinsbursky@parallels.com>
> >>
> >>---
> >> net/sunrpc/rpcb_clnt.c | 12 ++++++-----
> >> 1 files changed, 7 insertions(+), 5 deletions(-)
> >>
> >>diff --git a/net/sunrpc/rpcb_clnt.c b/net/sunrpc/rpcb_clnt.c
> >>index 78ac39f..4c38b33 100644
> >>--- a/net/sunrpc/rpcb_clnt.c
> >>+++ b/net/sunrpc/rpcb_clnt.c
> >>@@ -180,14 +180,16 @@ void rpcb_put_local(struct net *net)
> >> struct sunrpc_net *sn = net_generic(net, sunrpc_net_id);
> >> struct rpc_clnt *clnt = sn->rpcb_local_clnt;
> >> struct rpc_clnt *clnt4 = sn->rpcb_local_clnt4;
> >>- int shutdown;
> >>+ int shutdown = 0;
> >>
> >> spin_lock(&sn->rpcb_clnt_lock);
> >>- if (--sn->rpcb_users == 0) {
> >>- sn->rpcb_local_clnt = NULL;
> >>- sn->rpcb_local_clnt4 = NULL;
> >>+ if (sn->rpcb_users) {
> >>+ if (--sn->rpcb_users == 0) {
> >>+ sn->rpcb_local_clnt = NULL;
> >>+ sn->rpcb_local_clnt4 = NULL;
> >>+ }
> >>+ shutdown = !sn->rpcb_users;
> >> }
> >>- shutdown = !sn->rpcb_users;
> >> spin_unlock(&sn->rpcb_clnt_lock);
> >>
> >> if (shutdown) {
> >>
>
>
> --
> Best regards,
> Stanislav Kinsbursky

```
