
Subject: Re: [PATCH 17/23] kmem controller charge/uncharge infrastructure
Posted by [Glauber Costa](#) on Wed, 25 Apr 2012 14:44:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
> About kmem, if we count task_struct, page tables, etc...which can be freed by
> OOM-Killer i.e. it's allocated for 'process', should be aware of OOM problem.
> Using mm->owner makes sense to me until someone finds a great idea to handle
> OOM situation rather than task killing.
>

noted, will update.
