Subject: [PATCH 0/3] Fix problem with static_key decrement Posted by Glauber Costa on Thu, 19 Apr 2012 22:49:15 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

This is my proposed fix for the sock memcg static_key problem raised by Kamezawa. It works for me, but I would Kame, please confirm.

For that to work, I am dependent on two cgroup patches that goes attached. The rationale behind it, is that we can't do static_key updates with the cgroup_mutex held, or we risk deadlocking.

Looking closely, there seem to be no particular reason to hold the cgroup_mutex during destruction. Subsystems that really need it, can hold it themselves.

Tejun, let me know if this is acceptable from your PoV.

```
Glauber Costa (3):
```

don't attach a task to a dead cgroup don't take cgroup_mutex in destroy() decrement static keys on real destroy time

--

1.7.7.6