
Subject: Re: [PATCH] remove BUG() in possible but rare condition

Posted by [Michal Hocko](#) on Wed, 11 Apr 2012 19:25:54 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed 11-04-12 16:02:19, Glauber Costa wrote:

> On 04/11/2012 03:57 PM, Linus Torvalds wrote:

> > On Wed, Apr 11, 2012 at 11:48 AM, Michal Hocko<mhocko@suse.cz> wrote:

> > >

> > > I am not familiar with the code much but a trivial call chain walk up to

> > > write_dev_supers (in btrfs) shows that we do not check for the return value

> > > from __getblk so we would nullptr and there might be more.

> > > I guess these need some treat before the BUG might be removed, right?

> >

> > Well, realistically, isn't BUG() as bad as a NULL pointer dereference?

> >

> > Do you care about the exact message on the screen when your machine dies?

> Not particular, but I don't see why (I might be wrong) it would

> necessarily lead to a NULL pointer dereference.

Ahh, OK scratch that. I have misread __getblk_slow which returns NULL only if grow_buffers returned with < 0 which doesn't happen for the allocation failure.

Sorry about noise

--

Michal Hocko

SUSE Labs

SUSE LINUX s.r.o.

Lihovarska 1060/12

190 00 Praha 9

Czech Republic
