Subject: Re: [PATCH] remove BUG() in possible but rare condition Posted by Glauber Costa on Wed, 11 Apr 2012 19:02:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 04/11/2012 03:57 PM, Linus Torvalds wrote:

> On Wed, Apr 11, 2012 at 11:48 AM, Michal Hocko<mhocko@suse.cz> wrote:

>>

- >> I am not familiar with the code much but a trivial call chain walk up to
- >> write dev supers (in btrfs) shows that we do not check for the return value
- >> from __getblk so we would nullptr and there might be more.
- >> I guess these need some treat before the BUG might be removed, right?

>

> Well, realistically, isn't BUG() as bad as a NULL pointer dereference?

>

> Do you care about the exact message on the screen when your machine dies? Not particular, but I don't see why (I might be wrong) it would necessarily lead to a NULL pointer dereference.

At least in my test cases, after turning this to a WARN (to make sure it was still being hit), the machine could go on just fine.

I was running this in a container system, with restricted memory. After killing the container - at least in my ext4 system - everything seemed as happy as ever.