## Subject: Re: [PATCH] memcg: Do not open code accesses to res\_counter members

Posted by akpm on Thu, 05 Apr 2012 23:53:35 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, 20 Mar 2012 20:53:44 +0400 Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com> wrote:

- > We should use the acessor res\_counter\_read\_u64 for that.
- > Although a purely cosmetic change is sometimes better of delayed,
- > to avoid conflicting with other people's work, we are starting to
- > have people touching this code as well, and reproducing the open

> code behavior because that's the standard =)

- >
- > ...
- >
- > --- a/mm/memcontrol.c
- > +++ b/mm/memcontrol.c
- > @ @ -3708,7 +3708,7 @ @ move\_account:
- > goto try\_to\_free;
- > cond\_resched();
- > /\* "ret" should also be checked to ensure all lists are empty. \*/
- > } while (memcg->res.usage > 0 || ret);
- > + } while (res\_counter\_read\_u64(&memcg->res, RES\_USAGE) > 0 || ret);
- > out:
- > css\_put(&memcg->css);
- > return ret;
- > @ @ -3723,7 +3723,7 @ @ try\_to\_free:
- > Iru\_add\_drain\_all();
- > /\* try to free all pages in this cgroup \*/
- > shrink = 1;
- > while (nr\_retries && memcg->res.usage > 0) {
- > + while (nr\_retries && res\_counter\_read\_u64(&memcg->res, RES\_USAGE) > 0) {
- > int progress;
- >
- > if (signal\_pending(current)) {

Actually this fixes bugs on 32-bit machines. Good luck trying to demonstrate them at runtime though ;)