
Subject: Re: [PATCH 00/10] memcg: Kernel Memory Accounting.
Posted by [Suleiman Souhlal](#) on Wed, 29 Feb 2012 19:28:56 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, Feb 29, 2012 at 8:47 AM, Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com> wrote:

> On 02/28/2012 07:47 PM, Suleiman Souhlal wrote:

>> I hadn't considered the fact that two cgroups could have the same base
>> name.

>> I think having a name makes it a lot easier for a human to understand

>> which cgroup is using slab, so what about having both the base name of

>> the cgroup AND its css id, so that the caches are named like

>> "dentry(5:foo)"?

>

>

> That would be better, so if you really want to keep names, I'd advise you to
> go this route.

>

> However, what does "5" really means to whoever is reading that? css ids are

> not visible to the user, so there isn't really too much information you can

> extract for that. So why not only dentry-5 ?

dentry-5 gives even less information.. :-)

>> This should let us use the name of the cgroup while still being able

>> to distinguish cgroups that have the same base name.

>

>

> I am fine with name+css_id if you really want names on it.

>

>

>>> I was thinking: How about we don't bother to show them at all, and

>>> instead,

>>> show a proc-like file inside the cgroup with information about that

>>> cgroup?

>>

>>

>> One of the patches in the series adds a per-memcg memory.kmem.slabinfo.

>

> I know. What I was wondering was if we wanted to show only the non-cgroup

> slabs in /proc/slabinfo, and then show the per-cgroup slabs in the cgroup

> only.

I think /proc/slabinfo should show all the slabs in the system, to
avoid confusion.

Thanks for your comments so far.

I will try to get a v2 out soon that addresses them.

-- Suleiman
