

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 00/10] memcg: Kernel Memory Accounting.

Posted by [Pekka Enberg](#) on Tue, 28 Feb 2012 08:49:46 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Mon, 27 Feb 2012, Suleiman Souhlal wrote:

- > The main difference with Glauber's patches is here: We try to
- > track all the slab allocations, while Glauber only tracks ones
- > that are explicitly marked.
- > We feel that it's important to track everything, because there
- > are a lot of different slab allocations that may use significant
- > amounts of memory, that we may not know of ahead of time.
- > This is also the main source of complexity in the patchset.

Well, what are the performance implications of your patches? Can we reasonably expect distributions to be able to enable this thing on generic kernels and leave the feature disabled by default? Can we accommodate your patches to support Glauber's use case?

Pekka

---