## Subject: Re: [PATCH 4/4] get rid of populate for memcg Posted by Glauber Costa on Wed, 21 Mar 2012 07:36:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On 03/20/2012 10:31 PM, Tejun Heo wrote:
> Hello, Glauber.
>
> On Tue, Mar 20, 2012 at 08:50:56PM +0400, Glauber Costa wrote:
>> @ @ -4929,7 +4929,9 @ @ mem cgroup create(struct cgroup *cont)
    atomic set(&memcg->refcnt, 1);
    memcg->move_charge_at_immigrate = 0;
    mutex init(&memcg->thresholds lock);
>> - return&memcg->css;
>> +
>> + if (!register_kmem_files(memcg,&mem_cgroup_subsys))
>> + return&memcg->css;
> After the change, I think register kmem files() is a quite misleading
> name.
how about init kmem()?
Remember the slab bits will are likely to end up here as well in the end.
>> @ @ -2484,6 +2484,11 @ @ int proto_register(struct proto *prot, int alloc_slab)
>>
     }
    }
>>
>> +#ifdef CONFIG CGROUP MEM RES CTLR KMEM
>> + if (prot->init cgroup)
>> + prot->init cgroup(NULL, NULL);
>> +#endif
> So, init_cgroup() is overloaded to do two things - one load time init
> and per-cgroup init, depending on the args.
Yes. I don't love it, but there is quite a bunch of precedents for this.
Like the shrinkers in vmscan, for instance.
a NULL argument is a probe, a valid argument should have action taken.
>> @ @ -37,7 +37,6 @ @ static struct cftype tcp_files[] = {
>>
    },
>>
   { } /* terminate */
>> -CGROUP_SUBSYS_CFTYPES(mem_cgroup_subsys, tcp_files);
> What I don't get is why you can't just keep this. Is it because the
```

- > files might appear before the protocol is registered? Wouldn't it be
- > much better to add ipv4\_tcp\_init\_cgroup() or whatever call to
- > inet\_init() instead of overloading init\_cgroup() with mostly unrelated
- > stuff?

>

The reason is that this has to be kept generic for protocols that may want to implement this in the future - since the pressure controls themselves are generic, the per-cgroup versions should be as well.

And in general, a protocol can live in a module, or not be registered despite being compiled in.

When the root memcg is created, prot\_register() is usually not yet called, at least for tcp.

Now, what we do with the files, are our decision in the end. If you want, we can use CGROUP\_SUBSYS\_CFTYPES(mem\_cgroup\_subsys, tcp\_files) as you suggested. tcp itself is always available if it is compiled in. Then in the future, if anyone cares about adding support for a protocol that may differ in that aspect, we can put the files nevertheless, and use ENOTSUPP as kame suggested for the swap accounting.

What's your take?