
Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/7] small cleanup for memcontrol.c
Posted by [KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki](#) on Thu, 01 Mar 2012 02:11:47 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 29 Feb 2012 14:30:35 -0300

Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com> wrote:

```
> On 02/22/2012 12:01 PM, Glauber Costa wrote:
> > On 02/22/2012 04:46 AM, KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki wrote:
> >> On Tue, 21 Feb 2012 15:34:33 +0400
> >> Glauber Costa<glommer@parallels.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>> Move some hardcoded definitions to an enum type.
> >>>
> >>> Signed-off-by: Glauber Costa<glommer@parallels.com>
> >>> CC: Kirill A. Shutemov<kirill@shutemov.name>
> >>> CC: Greg Thelen<gthelen@google.com>
> >>> CC: Johannes Weiner<jweiner@redhat.com>
> >>> CC: Michal Hocko<mhocko@suse.cz>
> >>> CC: Hiroyouki Kamezawa<kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>
> >>> CC: Paul Turner<pjt@google.com>
> >>> CC: Frederic Weisbecker<fweisbec@gmail.com>
> >>
> >> seems ok to me.
> >>
> >> Acked-by: KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki<kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>
> >
> > BTW, this series is likely to go through many rounds of discussion.
> > This patch can be probably picked separately, if you want to.
> >
> >> a nitpick..
> >>
> >>> ---
> >>> mm/memcontrol.c | 10 ++++++---
> >>> 1 files changed, 7 insertions(+), 3 deletions(-)
> >>>
> >>> diff --git a/mm/memcontrol.c b/mm/memcontrol.c
> >>> index 6728a7a..b15a693 100644
> >>> --- a/mm/memcontrol.c
> >>> +++ b/mm/memcontrol.c
> >>> @@ -351,9 +351,13 @@ enum charge_type {
> >>> };
> >>>
> >>> /* for encoding cft->private value on file */
> >>> #define _MEM (0)
> >>> #define _MEMSWAP (1)
> >>> #define _OOM_TYPE (2)
> >>> +
```

> >>> +enum mem_type {
> >>> + _MEM = 0,
> >>
> >> =0 is required ?
> > I believe not, but I always liked to use it to be 100 % explicit.
> > Personal taste... Can change it, if this is a big deal.
>
> Kame, would you like me to send this cleanup without the = 0 ?
>

Not necessary. Sorry for delayed response.
Lots of memcg patches are flying..

Thanks,
-Kame
