

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/7] small cleanup for memcontrol.c  
Posted by [Glauber Costa](#) on Wed, 29 Feb 2012 17:30:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 02/22/2012 12:01 PM, Glauber Costa wrote:  
> On 02/22/2012 04:46 AM, KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki wrote:  
>> On Tue, 21 Feb 2012 15:34:33 +0400  
>> Glauber Costa<glommer@parallels.com> wrote:  
>>  
>>> Move some hardcoded definitions to an enum type.  
>>>  
>>> Signed-off-by: Glauber Costa<glommer@parallels.com>  
>>> CC: Kirill A. Shutemov<kirill@shutemov.name>  
>>> CC: Greg Thelen<gthelen@google.com>  
>>> CC: Johannes Weiner<jweiner@redhat.com>  
>>> CC: Michal Hocko<mhocko@suse.cz>  
>>> CC: Hiroyuki Kamezawa<kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>  
>>> CC: Paul Turner<pjt@google.com>  
>>> CC: Frederic Weisbecker<fweisbec@gmail.com>  
>>  
>> seems ok to me.  
>>  
>> Acked-by: KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki<kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>  
>  
> BTW, this series is likely to go through many rounds of discussion.  
> This patch can be probably picked separately, if you want to.  
>  
>> a nitpick..  
>>  
>>> ---  
>>> mm/memcontrol.c | 10 ++++++----  
>>> 1 files changed, 7 insertions(+), 3 deletions(-)  
>>>  
>>> diff --git a/mm/memcontrol.c b/mm/memcontrol.c  
>>> index 6728a7a..b15a693 100644  
>>> --- a/mm/memcontrol.c  
>>> +++ b/mm/memcontrol.c  
>>> @@ -351,9 +351,13 @@ enum charge\_type {  
>>> };  
>>>  
>>> /\* for encoding cft->private value on file \*/  
>>> #define \_MEM (0)  
>>> #define \_MEMSWAP (1)  
>>> #define \_OOM\_TYPE (2)  
>>> +  
>>> +enum mem\_type {  
>>> + \_MEM = 0,  
>>>  
>>

>> =0 is required ?

> I believe not, but I always liked to use it to be 100 % explicit.

> Personal taste... Can change it, if this is a big deal.

Kame, would you like me to send this cleanup without the = 0 ?

---