

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/7] small cleanup for memcontrol.c  
Posted by [Glauber Costa](#) on Wed, 22 Feb 2012 14:01:46 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 02/22/2012 04:46 AM, KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki wrote:  
> On Tue, 21 Feb 2012 15:34:33 +0400  
> Glauber Costa<glommer@parallels.com> wrote:  
>  
>> Move some hardcoded definitions to an enum type.  
>>  
>> Signed-off-by: Glauber Costa<glommer@parallels.com>  
>> CC: Kirill A. Shutemov<kirill@shutemov.name>  
>> CC: Greg Thelen<gthelen@google.com>  
>> CC: Johannes Weiner<jweiner@redhat.com>  
>> CC: Michal Hocko<mhocko@suse.cz>  
>> CC: Hiroyouki Kamezawa<kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>  
>> CC: Paul Turner<pjt@google.com>  
>> CC: Frederic Weisbecker<fweisbec@gmail.com>  
>  
> seems ok to me.  
>  
> Acked-by: KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki<kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>

BTW, this series is likely to go through many rounds of discussion.  
This patch can be probably picked separately, if you want to.

> a nitpick..  
>  
>> ---  
>> mm/memcontrol.c | 10 ++++++---  
>> 1 files changed, 7 insertions(+), 3 deletions(-)  
>>  
>> diff --git a/mm/memcontrol.c b/mm/memcontrol.c  
>> index 6728a7a..b15a693 100644  
>> --- a/mm/memcontrol.c  
>> +++ b/mm/memcontrol.c  
>> @@ -351,9 +351,13 @@ enum charge\_type {  
>> };  
>>  
>> /\* for encoding cft->private value on file \*/  
>> #define \_MEM (0)  
>> #define \_MEMSWAP (1)  
>> #define \_OOM\_TYPE (2)  
>> +  
>> +enum mem\_type {  
>> + \_MEM = 0,  
>  
> =0 is required ?

I believe not, but I always liked to use it to be 100 % explicit.  
Personal taste... Can change it, if this is a big deal.

---