
Subject: Re: A question about Node RAM
Posted by [Kirill Korotaev](#) on Sat, 07 Jan 2012 17:29:21 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Jan 7, 2012, at 21:21 , Tim Small wrote:

> On 07/01/12 08:33, Kirill Korotaev wrote:
>>> (maybe pacemaker with drbd, or glusterfs, or sheepdog) to distribute the storage between the nodes, and allow moving VMs between nodes.
>>
>> do not recommend gluster or sheepdog - they are nowhere near production quality. So speaking about reliability - a described HW with SAS drives RAID is by far more reliable.
>>
>
> I've not done much with gluster, but I know people who have it in
> production and are happy with it. Sheepdog looks very promising - we've
> had a bit of a play with it and plan to do more investigation in the
> future... We have drbd in production and are happy with it.

How big total storage these people are running with gluster?

> Over the years, I've had so much trouble with hardware RAID, that I now
> avoid it if at all possible.
>
> My experience with real top-end hardware has been that you get to find
> lots of interesting new bugs (both software and hardware) because you're
> using relatively unusual hardware - you end up with a machine which is
> like 0.01% of the global machines running Linux instead of 5% or
> whatever. When you do hit such bugs, often the developers can't
> reproduce the issue because they don't have access to the same hardware...
>
> RAISe - Rudundant Array of Inexpensive Servers! :-)
>
> Tim.
>
> --
> South East Open Source Solutions Limited
> Registered in England and Wales with company number 06134732.
> Registered Office: 2 Powell Gardens, Redhill, Surrey, RH1 1TQ
> VAT number: 900 6633 53 <http://seoss.co.uk/> +44-(0)1273-808309
>