
Subject: Re: [PATCH 01/11] SYSCTL: export root and set handling routines
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Tue, 03 Jan 2012 03:48:52 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Stanislav Kinsbursky <skinsbursky@parallels.com> writes:

>> Stanislav Kinsbursky<skinsbursky@parallels.com> writes:

>>

>> Doing that independently of the rest of the sysctls is pretty horrible
>> and confusing to users. What I am planning might suit your needs and
>> if not we need to talk some more about how to get the vfs to do
>> something reasonable.

>>

>

> Ok, Eric. Would be glad to discuss your sysctls plans.
> But actually you already know my needs: I would like to make sysctls work in the
> way like sysfs does: i.e. content of files depends on mount maker -
> not viewer.

What drives the desire to have sysctls depend on the mount maker?
Especially what drives that desire not to have it have a /proc/<pid>/sys
directory that reflects the sysctls for a given process.

Eric
