
Subject: Re: [PATCH v9 0/9] Request for inclusion: per-cgroup tcp memory pressure controls

Posted by [Christoph Paasch](#) on Tue, 13 Dec 2011 13:49:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

On 12/13/2011 01:07 AM, David Miller wrote:

> From: Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com>
> Date: Mon, 12 Dec 2011 11:47:00 +0400
>
>> This series fixes all the few comments raised in the last round,
>> and seem to have acquired consensus from the memcg side.
>>
>> Dave, do you think it is acceptable now from the networking PoV?
>> In case positive, would you prefer merging this through your tree,
>> or acking this so a cgroup maintainer can do it?
>
> All applied to net-next, thanks.

now there are plenty of compiler-warnings when CONFIG_CGROUPS is not set:

In file included from include/linux/tcp.h:211:0,

 from include/linux/ipv6.h:221,
 from include/net/ip_vs.h:23,
 from kernel/sysctl_binary.c:6:

include/net/sock.h:67:57: warning: 'struct cgroup_subsys' declared
inside parameter list [enabled by default]

include/net/sock.h:67:57: warning: its scope is only this definition or
declaration, which is probably not what you want [enabled by default]

include/net/sock.h:67:57: warning: 'struct cgroup' declared inside
parameter list [enabled by default]

include/net/sock.h:68:61: warning: 'struct cgroup_subsys' declared
inside parameter list [enabled by default]

include/net/sock.h:68:61: warning: 'struct cgroup' declared inside
parameter list [enabled by default]

Because struct cgroup is only declared if CONFIG_CGROUPS is enabled.

(cfr. linux/cgroup.h)

Christoph

--

Christoph Paasch
PhD Student

IP Networking Lab --- <http://inl.info.ucl.ac.be>

MultiPath TCP in the Linux Kernel --- <http://mptcp.info.ucl.ac.be>

Université Catholique de Louvain

--
