
Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/3] make clone_children a flag
Posted by [Glauber Costa](#) on Thu, 15 Dec 2011 07:03:38 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/14/2011 10:18 PM, Tejun Heo wrote:

> Hello,

>

> On Wed, Dec 14, 2011 at 11:09:14AM +0400, Glauber Costa wrote:

>> That's indeed confusing, and it comes from the fact that we always

>> inherit clone_children from the parent - which is sane, IMHO. So

>> this flag only has any value in establishing the initial behaviour

>> of the top root cgroup. I wonder then if it wouldn't better to just

>> be explicit and fail in this case ?

>

> I don't think all current behaviors are sane and if not let's change

> them, but those things have to be explicit with proper description and

> rationale.

>

140 % agree to that. As I said, I wrongly believed it to be functionally
equivalent when I sent it, but missed the flags remount check.

If you believe the behavior we now get is saner, I can rewrite the
Changelog and resend it.
