
Subject: Re: [PATCH v9 0/9] Request for inclusion: per-cgroup tcp memory pressure controls

Posted by [Glauber Costa](#) on Thu, 15 Dec 2011 06:48:26 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/15/2011 09:48 AM, David Miller wrote:

> From: KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki<kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>

> Date: Thu, 15 Dec 2011 14:40:19 +0900

>

>> I met this bug at _1st_ run. Please enable _all_ debug options!.

>

> Plus the CONFIG_NET=n and other build failures.

>

> This patch series was seriously rushed, and very poorly handled.

>

> Yet I kept getting so much pressure to review, comment upon, and

> ultimately apply these patches. Never, ever, do this to me ever

> again.

>

> If I don't feel your patches are high priority enough or ready enough

> for me to review, then TOO BAD. Don't ask people to pressure me or

> get my attention. Instead, ask others for help and do testing before

> wasting MY time and crapping up MY tree.

>

> I should have noticed a red flag when I have James Bottomly asking me

> to look at these patches, I should have pushed back. Instead, I

> relented, and now I'm very seriously regretting it.

>

> All the regressions in the net-next tree over the past several days

> have been due to this patch set, and this patch set alone.

>

> This code wasn't ready and needed, at a minimum, several more weeks of

> work before being put in.

>

> Instead, we're going to bandaid patch it up after the fact, rather

> than just letting these changes mature naturally during the review

> process.

Hi Dave,

You are right about all points. I will admit to it, face it, and apologize it.

I guess the best I can do right now is fix whatever you guys point me to and not repeat it in the future.

Thanks
