Subject: Re: [PATCH v9 0/9] Request for inclusion: per-cgroup tcp memory pressure controls

Posted by Glauber Costa on Thu, 15 Dec 2011 06:48:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On 12/15/2011 09:48 AM, David Miller wrote:
> From: KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki<kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>
> Date: Thu, 15 Dec 2011 14:40:19 +0900
>> I met this bug at 1st run. Please enable all debug options!.
> Plus the CONFIG NET=n and other build failures.
> This patch series was seriously rushed, and very poorly handled.
> Yet I kept getting so much pressure to review, comment upon, and
> ultimately apply these patches. Never, ever, do this to me ever
> again.
>
> If I don't feel your patches are high priority enough or ready enough
> for me to review, then TOO BAD. Don't ask people to pressure me or
> get my attention. Instead, ask others for help and do testing before
> wasting MY time and crapping up MY tree.
> I should have noticed a red flag when I have James Bottomly asking me
> to look at these patches, I should have pushed back. Instead, I
> relented, and now I'm very seriously regretting it.
> All the regressions in the net-next tree over the past several days
> have been due to this patch set, and this patch set alone.
> This code wasn't ready and needed, at a minimum, several more weeks of
> work before being put in.
> Instead, we're going to bandaid patch it up after the fact, rather
> than just letting these changes mature naturally during the review
> process.
Hi Dave,
```

You are right about all points. I will admit to it, face it, and apologize it.

I guess the best I can do right now is fix whatever you guys point me to and not repeat it in the future.

Thanks