
Subject: Re: [PATCH v9 0/9] Request for inclusion: per-cgroup tcp memory pressure controls

Posted by [davem](#) on Thu, 15 Dec 2011 05:48:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

From: KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>

Date: Thu, 15 Dec 2011 14:40:19 +0900

> I met this bug at _1st_ run. Please enable _all_ debug options!.

Plus the CONFIG_NET=n and other build failures.

This patch series was seriously rushed, and very poorly handled.

Yet I kept getting so much pressure to review, comment upon, and ultimately apply these patches. Never, ever, do this to me ever again.

If I don't feel your patches are high priority enough or ready enough for me to review, then TOO BAD. Don't ask people to pressure me or get my attention. Instead, ask others for help and do testing before wasting MY time and crapping up MY tree.

I should have noticed a red flag when I have James Bottomly asking me to look at these patches, I should have pushed back. Instead, I relented, and now I'm very seriously regretting it.

All the regressions in the net-next tree over the past several days have been due to this patch set, and this patch set alone.

This code wasn't ready and needed, at a minimum, several more weeks of work before being put in.

Instead, we're going to bandaid patch it up after the fact, rather than just letting these changes mature naturally during the review process.
