

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH v8 1/9] Basic kernel memory functionality for the Memory Controller

Posted by [KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki](#) on Fri, 09 Dec 2011 01:21:13 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Mon, 5 Dec 2011 19:34:55 -0200

Glauber Costa <[glommer@parallels.com](mailto:glommer@parallels.com)> wrote:

> This patch lays down the foundation for the kernel memory component  
> of the Memory Controller.

>

> As of today, I am only laying down the following files:

>

> \* memory.independent\_kmem\_limit

> \* memory.kmem.limit\_in\_bytes (currently ignored)

> \* memory.kmem.usage\_in\_bytes (always zero)

>

> Signed-off-by: Glauber Costa <[glommer@parallels.com](mailto:glommer@parallels.com)>

> Reviewed-by: Kirill A. Shutemov <[kirill@shutemov.name](mailto:kirill@shutemov.name)>

> CC: Paul Menage <[paul@paulmenage.org](mailto:paul@paulmenage.org)>

> CC: Greg Thelen <[gthelen@google.com](mailto:gthelen@google.com)>

As I wrote, please CC Johannes and Michal Hocko for memcg related parts.

A few questions.

==

> + val = !!val;

> +

> + if (parent && parent->use\_hierarchy &&

> + (val != parent->kmem\_independent\_accounting))

> + return -EINVAL;

==

Hm, why you check val != parent->kmem\_independent\_accounting ?

```
if (parent && parent->use_hierarchy)
```

```
    return -EINVAL;
```

```
?
```

BTW, you didn't check this cgroup has children or not.

I think

```
if (this_cgroup->use_hierarchy &&
```

```
    !list_empty(this_cgroup->childlen))
```

```
    return -EINVAL;
```

==

> + /\*

```
> + * TODO: We need to handle the case in which we are doing
> + * independent kmem accounting as authorized by our parent,
> + * but then our parent changes its parameter.
> + */
> + cgroup_lock();
> + memcg->kmem_independent_accounting = val;
> + cgroup_unlock();
```

Do we need `cgroup_lock()` here ?

Thanks,  
-Kame

---