
Subject: Re: Heavy Disk IO from a single VM can block the other VMs on the same host

Posted by [Hubert Krause](#) on Tue, 06 Dec 2011 17:18:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello Kirill,

Am Thu, 1 Dec 2011 21:27:49 +0400

schrieb Kirill Korotaev <dev@parallels.com>:

> That's most likely due to a single file system used for containers -
> journal becomes a bottleneck. fsync forces journal flushes and other
> workloads begin to wait for journal... In reality workload looks like
> this are typical for heavy loaded databases or mail systems only.
>
> How to improve:
> - increase journal size
> - split file systems, i.e. run each container from it's own file
> system

I've created another lv with an ext4 filesystem with maximum journal-size and mounted this filesystem under /var/lib/vz/private/<VID>. I will call this vm as VM-sep. All other vhosts where kept inside the volume as before. Than I start stressing the VM-sep and tested the impact to the other VMs. It was exactly the same as if I run all VMs on the same partition.

There was indeed a difference, when I stress the Host itself. If I do filesystem stress in the same Partition (/var/lib/vz) The performance of VM is much worse (similar to stress in a VM, a little better) than if I would stress in a completely different Partition (/var/tmp in my case)

To get some Numbers: (not very scientific, but good for a measure)

Throughput of a Webserver in a VM called VM-web in KB/s:

* without stress	101.9
* stress /var/tmp on host	24.3
* stress /var/lib/vz on Host	10.5
* stress a vm, not VM-web, same fs	8.3
* stress VM-sep	7.6

Maybe the Diskencryption plays a role, maybe there is something in the VM-Isolation layer, I have no clue.

But as you mentione before this workload is typical for heavy loaded databases or mail systems only. Neither of this application will run in my vm-environment. So I will ignore this.

Greetings,

Hubert

> On Nov 29, 2011, at 20:13, Hubert Krause wrote:
> > my environment is a Debian squeeze host with a few debian squeeze
> > guests. The private and root filesystems of the guest are located on
> > the same raid device (raid5) in an luksCrypt Container in an LVM
> > container on an ext4 partition with noatime as mountoption. If I
> > run the tool stress:
> >
> > stress --io 5 --hdd 5 --timeout 60s (which means fork 5 threads
> > doing read/write access and 5 threads doing constantly fsync) the
> > responsiveness of the other VMs is very bad. That means, Isolation
> > for IO operations is not given. I've tried to reduce the impact of
> > the VM with 'vzctl set VID --ioprio=0'. There was only a
> > minor effect, my application on the other VM was still not
> > responsive.
> >
> > Any Idea how to prevent a single VM to disturb the other VMs
> > regarding diskIO?

--

Dr. Hubert Krause

Geschäftsbereich Risk & Fraud

INFORM GmbH, Pascalstr. 23, 52076 Aachen, Germany

Tel. (+49)2408 9456 5145

e-mail: hubert.krause@inform-software.com,

<http://www.inform-software.de/> INFORM Institut für Operations Research

und Management GmbH Registered AmtsG Aachen HRB1144 GfHr. Adrian Weiler