
Subject: Re: [PATCH v7 02/10] foundations of per-cgroup memory pressure controlling.

Posted by [KAMEZAWA Hiroyuki](#) on Wed, 30 Nov 2011 00:43:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 29 Nov 2011 21:56:53 -0200

Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com> wrote:

> This patch replaces all uses of struct sock fields' memory_pressure,
> memory_allocated, sockets_allocated, and sysctl_mem to accessor
> macros. Those macros can either receive a socket argument, or a mem_cgroup
> argument, depending on the context they live in.

>

> Since we're only doing a macro wrapping here, no performance impact at all is
> expected in the case where we don't have cgroups disabled.

>

> Signed-off-by: Glauber Costa <glommer@parallels.com>

> CC: David S. Miller <davem@davemloft.net>

> CC: Hiroyuki Kamezawa <kamezawa.hiroyu@jp.fujitsu.com>

> CC: Eric W. Biederman <ebiederm@xmission.com>

> CC: Eric Dumazet <eric.dumazet@gmail.com>

<snip>

> +static inline bool

> +memcg_memory_pressure(struct proto *prot, struct mem_cgroup *memcg)

> +{

> + if (!prot->memory_pressure)

> + return false;

> + return !!prot->memory_pressure;

> +}

I think you should take a deep breath and write patch relaxedly, and do enough test.

This should be

```
return !!*prot->memory_pressure;
```

BTW, I don't like to receive tons of everyday-update even if you're in hurry.

> static void proto_seq_printf(struct seq_file *seq, struct proto *proto)

> {

> + struct mem_cgroup *memcg = mem_cgroup_from_task(current);

> +

> seq_printf(seq, "%-9s %4u %6d %6ld %-3s %6u %-3s %-10s "

```
> "%2c %2c %2c %2c %2c %2c %2c %2c %2c %2c %2c %2c %2c %2c %2c %2c %2c %2c\n",
> proto->name,
> proto->obj_size,
> sock_prot_inuse_get(seq_file_net(seq), proto),
> - proto->memory_allocated != NULL ? atomic_long_read(proto->memory_allocated) : -1L,
> - proto->memory_pressure != NULL ? *proto->memory_pressure ? "yes" : "no" : "NI",
> + sock_prot_memory_allocated(proto, memcg),
> + sock_prot_memory_pressure(proto, memcg),
```

I wonder I should say NO, here. (Networking guys are ok ??)

IIUC, this means there is no way to see aggregated sockstat of all system.
And the result depends on the cgroup which the caller is under control.

I think you should show aggregated sockstat(global + per-memcg) here and show per-memcg ones via /cgroup interface or add private_sockstat to show per cgroup summary.

Thanks,
-Kame
