
Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/7] event: don't divide events if it has field period
Posted by [Peter Zijlstra](#) on Thu, 17 Nov 2011 08:45:08 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 2011-11-17 at 11:22 +0300, Andrew Wagin wrote:

> Hello Peter,
>

> > On 11/09/2011 03:55 PM, Peter Zijlstra wrote:

> >>

> >> On Mon, 2011-11-07 at 15:54 +0300, Andrew Vagin wrote:

> >>>

> >>> This patch solves the following problem:

> >>>

> >>> Now some samples may be lost due to throttling. The number of samples is

> >>> restricted by `sysctl_perf_event_sample_rate/HZ`. A trace event is

> >>> divided on some samples according to event's period. I don't sure, that

> >>> we should generate more than one sample on each trace event. I think the

> >>> better way to use `SAMPLE_PERIOD`.

> >>

> >> It would be yes, but this code predates that, also it needs to work even

> >> if the user doesn't provide `SAMPLE_PERIOD`.

>

> I have not understood exactly what I should do now.

> I'm going to send the third version of this patches. New version

> contains only a small fix according with the comment for path 4/7.

> In new version I am not going to fix the problem about which we

> discussed early. I have some reasons for it:

I already merged your previous version, it can be found in tip.
