Subject: Re: Container Test Campaign Posted by Clement Calmels on Tue, 04 Jul 2006 09:42:50 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

- > 1.1 It would be nice to run vmstat (say, vmstat 10) for the duration of
- > the tests, and put the vmstat output logs to the site.

Our benchmark framework allows us to use oprofile during test... couldn't it be better than vmstat?

- > Basically, the detailed description of a process would be nice to have,
- > in order to catch possible problems. There are a lot of tiny things
- > which are influencing the results. For example, in linux kernels 2.4
- > binding the NIC IRQ to a single CPU on an SMP system boosts network
- > performance by about 15%! Sure this is not relevant here, it's just an
- > example.

I agree. Actually, I always try to use 'default' configuration or installation but I will try to describe the tests in details.

> 1.3 Would be nice to have diffs between different kernel configs.

The different configs used are available in the lxc site. You will notice that I used a minimal config file for most of the test, but for Openvz I had to use the one I found in the OpenVZ site because I faced kernel build error (some CONFIG_NET... issues). I think that the differences are more dealing with network stuff.

- > For example, the tbench test is probably failed to finish because it
- > hits the limits for privvmpages, tcpsndbuf and tcprcvbuf. I have
- > increased the limits for those parameters and the test was finished
- > successfully. Also, dbench test could hit the disk quota limit for a VE.
- > Some more info is available at http://wiki.openvz.org/Resource_management

I already used this page. I had to increase 'diskinodes' and 'diskspace' resources in order to run some test properly (the disk errors were more selfexplicit).

I'm wondering why a default 'guest' creation implies some resources restrictions? Couldn't the resources be unlimited? I understand the need for resource management, but the default values look a little bit tiny...

- > 2.2 For OpenVZ specifically, it would be nice to collect
- > /proc/user_beancounters output before and after the test.

For sure... I will take a look at how integrating it in our automatic

test environment.
Best regards,
Clément Calmels <clement.calmels@fr.ibm.com></clement.calmels@fr.ibm.com>