
Subject: Re: Switching from VMWare to OpenVZ?
Posted by [Tim Small](#) on Wed, 13 Apr 2011 08:00:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/04/11 23:37, lists@yhmail.de wrote:

> Hello everybody,
>
> my workgroup and me do mostly simulations of complex organic compounds
> and java programming. Since most of our programmes run pretty unstable
> we have been using VMWare to virtualize our Servers (Intel i7-920
> Quad-Core/24GB DDR3 RAM/4TB RAID5).
>
> A few months ago a colleague of mine tried OpenVZ and had a lot of
> troubles setting it up.
> I think the most confusing part for him was the RAM/Swap-Management.
> So I thought before we try it again, we might just ask others if they
> have a similar setup.
>
> Currently we have 4 VM with 5 GB RAM/15GB Swap each. Since there is a
> lot calculation involved it is always hard to say how much memory will
> actually be used.
>
> With OpenVZ we got the error "out of swap/memory" a lot.
>
> What kind of settings, limits would you recommend with OpenVZ for that
> particular setup?

Dunno, it depends on how worried you are about one job potentially taking out the whole server, and what the likelihood is of multiple VMs all using a lot of RAM at the same time. If you are using Debian then maybe use

```
vzsplit -n 1
```

or

```
vzsplit -n 2
```

or interpolate somewhere in between? The "vzcalc" tool is also worth looking at, and I'd advise using Munin on the machine to track resource usage (there are a couple of OpenVZ plugins).

It's also worth noting that 2.6.26 isn't a kernel version that OpenVZ support, where as 2.6.32 (as used by Debian 6.0) is an OpenVZ supported version, so you may want to switch to that before doing a new deployment.

In particular if you are using software RAID5, I've seen some IO deadlocks on 2.6.26 with OpenVZ and software RAID - those problems

aren't present on 2.6.32.

Also worth noting is that I don't believe OpenVZ is planned to be officially supported in the next Debian version (possibly it will be if Linux Containers - LXC - aren't workable).

Cheers,

Tim.
