Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/2] cgroup: Set CGRP_RELEASABLE when adding to a cgroup

Posted by Michael Bohan on Fri, 28 Jan 2011 01:48:01 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 1/27/2011 5:30 PM, Paul Menage wrote:

> On Thu, Jan 27, 2011 at 5:17 PM, Bryan Huntsman
bryanh@codeaurora.org> wrote:

>>

>> Tested-by: Mike Bohan<mbohan@codeaurora.org>

>>

- >> I'm responding on Mike's behalf and adding him to this thread. This
- >> patch improves launch time of a test app from ~700ms to ~250ms on MSM,
- >> with much lower variance across tests. We also see UI latency
- >> improvements, but have not quantified the gains.

>>

>

- > Is this attached to the wrong patch? I'd thought that it was the other
- > patch (removing the rcu_synchronize()) that's the performance booster.
- > This one is more about preserving the semantics of the notification > API.

You are correct. "[PATCH 2/2] cgroup: Remove call to synchronize_rcu in cgroup_attach_task" improved the performance.

To be more correct, I tested this patch (eg. "cgroup: Set CGRP_RELEASABLE when adding to a cgroup") to the degree that it didn't appear to cause any stability or functional regressions when performing the simple benchmark procedure described above. I did also test "[PATCH 2/2] cgroup: Remove call to synchronize_rcu in cgroup_attach_task" independently of this patch to verify that it alone improved the performance.

Thanks, Mike

--

Employee of Qualcomm Innovation Center, Inc. Qualcomm Innovation Center, Inc. is a member of Code Aurora Forum

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containe rs