

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 1/2] cgroup: Set CGRP\_RELEASABLE when adding to a cgroup

Posted by [Michael Bohan](#) on Fri, 28 Jan 2011 01:48:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 1/27/2011 5:30 PM, Paul Menage wrote:

> On Thu, Jan 27, 2011 at 5:17 PM, Bryan Huntsman<bryanh@codeaurora.org> wrote:

>>

>> Tested-by: Mike Bohan<mbohan@codeaurora.org>

>>

>> I'm responding on Mike's behalf and adding him to this thread. This

>> patch improves launch time of a test app from ~700ms to ~250ms on MSM,

>> with much lower variance across tests. We also see UI latency

>> improvements, but have not quantified the gains.

>>

>

> Is this attached to the wrong patch? I'd thought that it was the other

> patch (removing the rcu\_synchronize()) that's the performance booster.

> This one is more about preserving the semantics of the notification

> API.

You are correct. "[PATCH 2/2] cgroup: Remove call to synchronize\_rcu in cgroup\_attach\_task" improved the performance.

To be more correct, I tested this patch (eg. "cgroup: Set CGRP\_RELEASABLE when adding to a cgroup") to the degree that it didn't appear to cause any stability or functional regressions when performing the simple benchmark procedure described above. I did also test "[PATCH 2/2] cgroup: Remove call to synchronize\_rcu in cgroup\_attach\_task" independently of this patch to verify that it alone improved the performance.

Thanks,

Mike

--

Employee of Qualcomm Innovation Center, Inc.

Qualcomm Innovation Center, Inc. is a member of Code Aurora Forum

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---