

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH] cgroup: Remove call to synchronize\_rcu in  
cgroup\_attach\_task

Posted by [Colin Cross](#) on Sat, 22 Jan 2011 02:04:06 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Fri, Jan 21, 2011 at 5:17 PM, Bryan Huntsman <bryanh@codeaurora.org> wrote:

> On 11/23/2010 05:43 PM, Colin Cross wrote:

>> synchronize\_rcu can be very expensive, averaging 100 ms in  
>> some cases. In cgroup\_attach\_task, it is used to prevent  
>> a task->cgroups pointer dereferenced in an RCU read side  
>> critical section from being invalidated by delaying the call  
>> to put\_css\_set until after an RCU grace period.

>>

>> To avoid the call to synchronize\_rcu, make the put\_css\_set  
>> call rcu-safe by moving the deletion of the css\_set links  
>> into rcu-protected free\_css\_set\_rcu.

>>

>> The calls to check\_for\_release in free\_css\_set\_rcu now occur  
>> in softirq context, so convert all uses of the  
>> release\_list\_lock spinlock to irq safe versions.

>>

>> The decrement of the cgroup refcount is no longer  
>> synchronous with the call to put\_css\_set, which can result  
>> in the cgroup refcount staying positive after the last call  
>> to cgroup\_attach\_task returns. To allow the cgroup to be  
>> deleted with cgroup\_rmdir synchronously after  
>> cgroup\_attach\_task, introduce a second refcount,  
>> rmdir\_count, that is decremented synchronously in  
>> put\_css\_set. If cgroup\_rmdir is called on a cgroup for  
>> which rmdir\_count is zero but count is nonzero, reuse the  
>> rmdir waitqueue to block the rmdir until the rcu callback  
>> is called.

>>

>> Signed-off-by: Colin Cross <ccross@android.com>

>> ---

>>

>> This patch is similar to what you described. The main differences are  
>> that I used a new atomic to handle the rmdir case, and I converted  
>> check\_for\_release to be callable in softirq context rather than schedule  
>> work in free\_css\_set\_rcu. Your css\_set scanning in rmdir sounds better,  
>> I'll take another look at that. Is there any problem with disabling irqs  
>> with spin\_lock\_irqsave in check\_for\_release?

>>

>> include/linux/cgroup.h | 6 ++

>> kernel/cgroup.c | 124 ++++++-----

>> 2 files changed, 78 insertions(+), 52 deletions(-)

>>

>

> Colin, what became of this patch? I see this in your Tegra tree for

> Android.

>

>

<http://android.git.kernel.org/?p=kernel/tegra.git;a=commit;h=05946a1e0fdb011ac0e6638ee60b181c584f127b>

>

> I looked in linux-next but didn't see it there. This resolves a

> performance issue on MSM SMP so I'm curious if this is going upstream.

> Thanks.

>

It's been posted, there are no outstanding comments I am working on,  
but they haven't been picked up.

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---