

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH] cgroup: Remove call to synchronize\_rcu in  
cgroup\_attach\_task

Posted by [Bryan Huntsman](#) on Sat, 22 Jan 2011 01:17:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 11/23/2010 05:43 PM, Colin Cross wrote:

> synchronize\_rcu can be very expensive, averaging 100 ms in  
> some cases. In cgroup\_attach\_task, it is used to prevent  
> a task->cgroups pointer dereferenced in an RCU read side  
> critical section from being invalidated by delaying the call  
> to put\_css\_set until after an RCU grace period.  
>  
> To avoid the call to synchronize\_rcu, make the put\_css\_set  
> call rcu-safe by moving the deletion of the css\_set links  
> into rcu-protected free\_css\_set\_rcu.  
>  
> The calls to check\_for\_release in free\_css\_set\_rcu now occur  
> in softirq context, so convert all uses of the  
> release\_list\_lock spinlock to irq safe versions.  
>  
> The decrement of the cgroup refcount is no longer  
> synchronous with the call to put\_css\_set, which can result  
> in the cgroup refcount staying positive after the last call  
> to cgroup\_attach\_task returns. To allow the cgroup to be  
> deleted with cgroup\_rmdir synchronously after  
> cgroup\_attach\_task, introduce a second refcount,  
> rmdir\_count, that is decremented synchronously in  
> put\_css\_set. If cgroup\_rmdir is called on a cgroup for  
> which rmdir\_count is zero but count is nonzero, reuse the  
> rmdir waitqueue to block the rmdir until the rcu callback  
> is called.  
>  
> Signed-off-by: Colin Cross <ccross@android.com>  
> ---  
>  
> This patch is similar to what you described. The main differences are  
> that I used a new atomic to handle the rmdir case, and I converted  
> check\_for\_release to be callable in softirq context rather than schedule  
> work in free\_css\_set\_rcu. Your css\_set scanning in rmdir sounds better,  
> I'll take another look at that. Is there any problem with disabling irqs  
> with spin\_lock\_irqsave in check\_for\_release?  
>  
> include/linux/cgroup.h | 6 ++  
> kernel/cgroup.c | 124 ++++++-----  
> 2 files changed, 78 insertions(+), 52 deletions(-)  
>

Colin, what became of this patch? I see this in your Tegra tree for

Android.

<http://android.git.kernel.org/?p=kernel/tegra.git;a=commit;h=05946a1e0fdb011ac0e6638ee60b181c584f127b>

I looked in linux-next but didn't see it there. This resolves a performance issue on MSM SMP so I'm curious if this is going upstream.  
Thanks.

- Bryan

--

Sent by an employee of the Qualcomm Innovation Center, Inc.  
The Qualcomm Innovation Center, Inc. is a member of the Code Aurora Forum.

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---