

---

Subject: Re: linux-next: lockdep whinge in cgroup\_rmdir

Posted by [Eric Paris](#) on Fri, 14 Jan 2011 02:47:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 2011-01-13 at 10:34 -0500, Valdis.Kletnieks@vt.edu wrote:

> Seen booting yesterday's linux-next, was not present in 2.6.37-rc7-mmotm1202.

>

> Not sure if it's an selinux or cgroup issue, so I'm throwing it at every  
> address I can find for either. This is easily replicatable and happens at  
> every boot, so I can test patches if needed. Am willing to bisect it down if  
> nobody knows right off the bat what the problem is.

Not an SELinux issue. selinux\_inode\_rmdir() on the stack trace is just left over junk. The vfs doesn't call into the filesystem rmdir code (cgroup\_rmdir()) until after the selinux code has already returned. So cgroup people, you might as well pretend that wasn't on the stack trace at all.

-Eric

>

> The 'W' taint is from the already-reported kernel/workqueue.c worker\_enter\_idle issue.

>

> [ 85.100795] systemd[1]: readahead-replay.service: main process exited, code=exited, status=1

> [ 85.101530]

> [ 85.101531] =====

> [ 85.101796] [ INFO: possible recursive locking detected ]

> [ 85.102002] 2.6.37-next-20110111 #1

> [ 85.102009] -----

> [ 85.102009] systemd/1 is trying to acquire lock:

> [ 85.102009] (&(&dentry->d\_lock)->rlock){+.+...}, at: [<ffffffff8107ca5c>]

cgroup\_rmdir+0x339/0x479

> [ 85.102009]

> [ 85.102009] but task is already holding lock:

> [ 85.102009] (&(&dentry->d\_lock)->rlock){+.+...}, at: [<ffffffff8107ca54>]

cgroup\_rmdir+0x331/0x479

> [ 85.102009]

> [ 85.102009] other info that might help us debug this:

> [ 85.102009] 4 locks held by systemd/1:

> [ 85.102009] #0: (&sb->s\_type->i\_mutex\_key#14/1){+.+.+.}, at: [<ffffffff810fea4d>]

do\_rmdir+0x7d/0x121

> [ 85.102009] #1: (&sb->s\_type->i\_mutex\_key#14){+.+.+.}, at: [<ffffffff810fd4bc>]

vfs\_rmdir+0x4a/0xbe

> [ 85.102009] #2: (cgroup\_mutex){+.+.+.}, at: [<ffffffff8107cb84>] cgroup\_rmdir+0x461/0x479

> [ 85.102009] #3: (&(&dentry->d\_lock)->rlock){+.+...}, at: [<ffffffff8107ca54>]

cgroup\_rmdir+0x331/0x479

> [ 85.102009]

> [ 85.102009] stack backtrace:

```
> [ 85.102009] Pid: 1, comm: systemd Tainted: G      W  2.6.37-next-20110111 #1
> [ 85.102009] Call Trace:
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff81069f22>] ? __lock_acquire+0x929/0xd4e
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff8107c6f1>] ? cgroup_clear_directory+0xff/0x131
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff8107c6f1>] ? cgroup_clear_directory+0xff/0x131
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff8107ca5c>] ? cgroup_rmdir+0x339/0x479
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff8106a859>] ? lock_acquire+0x100/0x126
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff8107ca5c>] ? cgroup_rmdir+0x339/0x479
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff815521ef>] ? sub_preempt_count+0x35/0x48
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff8154e401>] ? _raw_spin_lock+0x36/0x45
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff8107ca5c>] ? cgroup_rmdir+0x339/0x479
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff8107ca5c>] ? cgroup_rmdir+0x339/0x479
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff810579cd>] ? autoremove_wake_function+0x0/0x34
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff811e1839>] ? selinux_inode_rmdir+0x15/0x17
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff810fd4eb>] ? vfs_rmdir+0x79/0xbe
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff810feaa0>] ? do_rmdir+0xd0/0x121
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff8100256c>] ? sysret_check+0x27/0x62
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff8106ac79>] ? trace_hardirqs_on_caller+0x117/0x13b
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff8154e201>] ? trace_hardirqs_on_thunk+0x3a/0x3f
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff8110040b>] ? sys_rmdir+0x11/0x13
> [ 85.102009] [<ffffffff8100253b>] ? system_call_fastpath+0x16/0x1b
> [ 85.268272] systemd[1]: readahead-collect.service: main process exited, code=exited,
status=1
>
> Any ideas?
>
```

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---