

---

Subject: [PATCH] cgroup: Remove RCU from task->cgroups

Posted by [Colin Cross](#) on Sun, 21 Nov 2010 02:00:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

The synchronize\_rcu call in cgroup\_attach\_task can be very expensive. All fastpath accesses to task->cgroups already use task\_lock() or cgroup\_lock() to protect against updates, and only the CGROUP\_DEBUG files have RCU read-side critical sections.

This patch replaces rcu\_read\_lock() with task\_lock(current) around the debug file accesses to current->cgroups and removes the synchronize\_rcu call in cgroup\_attach\_task.

Signed-off-by: Colin Cross <ccross@android.com>

---

```
kernel/cgroup.c | 22 ++++++-----  
1 files changed, 8 insertions(+), 14 deletions(-)
```

```
diff --git a/kernel/cgroup.c b/kernel/cgroup.c
```

```
index 66a416b..4a40183 100644
```

```
--- a/kernel/cgroup.c
```

```
+++ b/kernel/cgroup.c
```

```
@@ -725,14 +725,11 @@ static struct cgroup *task_cgroup_from_root(struct task_struct *task,  
 * cgroup_attach_task(), which overwrites one tasks cgroup pointer with  
 * another. It does so using cgroup_mutex, however there are  
 * several performance critical places that need to reference  
- * task->cgroup without the expense of grabbing a system global  
+ * task->cgroups without the expense of grabbing a system global  
 * mutex. Therefore except as noted below, when dereferencing or, as  
- * in cgroup_attach_task(), modifying a task's cgroup pointer we use  
+ * in cgroup_attach_task(), modifying a task's cgroups pointer we use  
 * task_lock(), which acts on a spinlock (task->alloc_lock) already in  
 * the task_struct routinely used for such matters.  
- *  
- * P.S. One more locking exception. RCU is used to guard the  
- * update of a tasks cgroup pointer by cgroup_attach_task()  
 */
```

```
/**
```

```
@@ -1786,7 +1783,7 @@ int cgroup_attach_task(struct cgroup *cgrp, struct task_struct *tsk)  
     retval = -ESRCH;  
     goto out;  
 }  
- rcu_assign_pointer(tsk->cgroups, newcg);  
+ tsk->cgroups = newcg;  
   task_unlock(tsk);
```

```

/* Update the css_set linked lists if we're using them */
@@ -1802,7 +1799,6 @@ int cgroup_attach_task(struct cgroup *cgrp, struct task_struct *tsk)
    ss->attach(ss, cgrp, oldcgrp, tsk, false);
}
set_bit(CGRP_RELEASABLE, &oldcgrp->flags);
- synchronize_rcu();
put_css_set(cg);

/*
@@ -4827,9 +4823,9 @@ static u64 current_css_set_refcount_read(struct cgroup *cont,
{
    u64 count;

- rcu_read_lock();
+ task_lock(current);
    count = atomic_read(&current->cgroups->refcount);
- rcu_read_unlock();
+ task_unlock(current);
    return count;
}

@@ -4838,12 +4834,10 @@ static int current_css_set_cg_links_read(struct cgroup *cont,
    struct seq_file *seq)
{
    struct cg_cgroup_link *link;
- struct css_set *cg;

    read_lock(&css_set_lock);
- rcu_read_lock();
- cg = rcu_dereference(current->cgroups);
- list_for_each_entry(link, &cg->cg_links, cg_link_list) {
+ task_lock(current);
+ list_for_each_entry(link, &current->cgroups->cg_links, cg_link_list) {
    struct cgroup *c = link->cgrp;
    const char *name;

@@ -4854,7 +4848,7 @@ static int current_css_set_cg_links_read(struct cgroup *cont,
    seq_printf(seq, "Root %d group %s\n",
        c->root->hierarchy_id, name);
}
- rcu_read_unlock();
+ task_unlock(current);
    read_unlock(&css_set_lock);
    return 0;
}
--

```

1.7.3.1

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---