Subject: Re: [PATCH 9/9] userns: check user namespace for task->file uid equivalence checks

Posted by serge on Thu, 24 Feb 2011 03:24:16 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Quoting Andrew Morton (akpm@linux-foundation.org):
> On Thu, 17 Feb 2011 15:04:07 +0000
> "Serge E. Hallyn" <serge@hallyn.com> wrote:
>> Cheat for now and say all files belong to init user ns. Next
>> step will be to let superblocks belong to a user_ns, and derive
>> inode userns(inode) from inode->i sb->s user ns. Finally we'll
> > introduce more flexible arrangements.
> >
> >
>> ...
> >
> > +/*
>> + * return 1 if current either has CAP FOWNER to the
>> + * file, or owns the file.
> > + */
> > +int is_owner_or_cap(const struct inode *inode)
>> + struct user_namespace *ns = inode_userns(inode);
>> + if (current_user_ns() == ns && current_fsuid() == inode->i_uid)
>> + return 1;
>> + if (ns capable(ns, CAP FOWNER))
>> + return 1;
> > + return 0:
> > +}
>
> bool?
>
> > +EXPORT_SYMBOL(is_owner_or_cap);
> There's a fairly well adhered to convention that global symbols (and
> often static symbols) have a prefix which identifies the subsystem to
> which they belong. This patchset rather scorns that convention.
>
> Most of these identifiers are pretty obviously from the capability
> subsystem, but still...
Would 'inode_owner_or_capable' be better and and make sense?
-serge
```

## Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containe rs