
Subject: Re: [PATCH, v6 3/3] cgroups: introduce timer slack controller
Posted by [Thomas Gleixner](#) on Tue, 15 Feb 2011 06:04:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 15 Feb 2011, Kirill A. Shutemov wrote:

> On Mon, Feb 14, 2011 at 06:01:06PM +0100, Thomas Gleixner wrote:
> > > I think silent change here is wrong. cpuset returns -EBUSY in similar
> > > case.
> >
> > And how is cpuset relevant for this ? Not at all. This is about
> > timer_slack and we better have a well defined scheme for all of this
> > and not some cobbled together thing with tons of exceptions and corner
> > cases. Of course undocumented as far the code goes.
>
> I don't like silent cascade changes. Userspace can implement it if
> needed. -EBUSY is appropriate.

And I don't like totally uncommented code which follows come cobbled together completely non obvious rules.

It's not about what you like. It's about getting useful functionality when we add a new infrastructure like this.

So could you please explain what the rules of updating are, so that a reviewer has a chance to understand the rationale of all this.

Thanks,

tglx

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
