Subject: Re: [PATCH, v6 3/3] cgroups: introduce timer slack controller Posted by Matt Helsley on Tue, 15 Feb 2011 00:00:55 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Tue, Feb 15, 2011 at 12:59:40AM +0200, Kirill A. Shutemov wrote:
> On Mon, Feb 14, 2011 at 05:59:26AM -0800, Matt Helsley wrote:
>> On Mon, Feb 14, 2011 at 03:06:27PM +0200, Kirill A. Shutsemov wrote:
>>> From: Kirill A. Shutemov <kirill@shutemov.name>
<snip>
>>> + list for each entry(cur, &cgroup->children, sibling) {
>>> + child = cgroup_to_tslack_cgroup(cur);
>>> + if (type == TIMER_SLACK_MIN && val > child->min_slack_ns)
>>> + return -EBUSY;
>>> + if (type == TIMER_SLACK_MAX && val < child->max_slack_ns)
>>> + return -EBUSY:
>>>+}
> >
>> This doesn't look right. Child cgroups should not constrain their
>> parents. Instead you should allow the change and propagate the
> > constraint to the children.
> See discussion with Thomas.
<OK, shifting this topic to that thread>
<snip>
>>> +static struct cftype files[] = {
>>>+{
>>> + .name = "set slack ns",
>>> + .write_u64 = tslack_write_set_slack_ns,
>>>+ },
>>>+ {
>>> + .name = "min_slack_ns",
>>> + .private = TIMER SLACK MIN,
>>> + .read_u64 = tslack_read_range,
>>> + .write_u64 = tslack_write_range,
>>>+ },
>>>+{
>>> + .name = "max slack ns",
>>> + .private = TIMER_SLACK_MAX,
>>> + .read_u64 = tslack_read_range,
>>> + .write_u64 = tslack_write_range,
>>>+ },
>> I didn't get a reply on how a max slack ns is useful. It seems
> > prudent to add as little interface as possible and only when
```

> > we clearly see the utility of it.

> For example, you can create two groups (excluding root cgroup):

- > default timer slack range 50000-50000
- > relaxed timer slack range 500000-unlimited.

>

- > Now you can drag tasks between these group without need to reset value on
- > relaxed -> default transition.

Perhaps you misunderstood my point.

Yes, I can see that a maximum allows you to do counter-productive/pointless little tricks like "setting" the timer slack when you move the task. I just don't get the point of it. Why is setting a maximum timer slack useful? If anything it seems like it would be quite counterproductive or pointless *at best* because limiting the amount of timer slack would not improve the wakeup situation -- it could easily make it worse. Are there *any* negative consequences to allowing timer slacks as large as userspace requests -- perhaps even up to ULLONG_MAX? If there are none then why should we bother providing userspace a knob to set and enforce such a limit?

Cheers. -Matt Helsley

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containe rs