

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH v8 0/3] cgroups: implement moving a threadgroup's threads atomically with cgroup.procs

Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Mon, 14 Feb 2011 06:12:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, Feb 9, 2011 at 3:10 PM, Andrew Morton <[akpm@linux-foundation.org](mailto:akpm@linux-foundation.org)> wrote:

> On Mon, 7 Feb 2011 20:35:42 -0500

> Ben Blum <[bblum@andrew.cmu.edu](mailto:bblum@andrew.cmu.edu)> wrote:

>

>> On Sun, Dec 26, 2010 at 07:09:19AM -0500, Ben Blum wrote:

>> > On Fri, Dec 24, 2010 at 03:22:26AM -0500, Ben Blum wrote:

>> > > On Wed, Aug 11, 2010 at 01:46:04AM -0400, Ben Blum wrote:

>> > > > On Fri, Jul 30, 2010 at 07:56:49PM -0400, Ben Blum wrote:

>> > > > This patch series is a revision of <http://lkml.org/lkml/2010/6/25/11> .

>> > > >

>> > > > This patch series implements a write function for the 'cgroup.procs'

>> > > > per-cgroup file, which enables atomic movement of multithreaded

>> > > > applications between cgroups. Writing the thread-ID of any thread in a

>> > > > threadgroup to a cgroup's procs file causes all threads in the group to

>> > > > be moved to that cgroup safely with respect to threads forking/exiting.

>> > > > (Possible usage scenario: If running a multithreaded build system that

>> > > > sucks up system resources, this lets you restrict it all at once into a

>> > > > new cgroup to keep it under control.)

>> > > >

>

> The above six month old text is the best (and almost the only)

> explanation of the rationale for the entire patch series. Is

> it still correct and complete?

>

It's still correct, but I'm sure we could come up with a more detailed justification if necessary.

Paul

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---