Subject: Re: [PATCH, v3 2/2] cgroups: introduce timer slack subsystem Posted by Matt Helsley on Mon, 07 Feb 2011 00:33:33 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Fri, Feb 04, 2011 at 09:27:55AM -0800, Jacob Pan wrote:
> On Fri, 4 Feb 2011 15:34:39 +0200
> "Kirill A. Shutemov" < kirill@shutemov.name> wrote:
>> On Thu, Feb 03, 2011 at 11:57:43AM -0800, Jacob Pan wrote:
> > On Thu, 3 Feb 2011 10:12:51 -0800
>> Paul Menage <menage@google.com> wrote:
>>> On Thu, Feb 3, 2011 at 9:51 AM, Jacob Pan
>>> < jacob.jun.pan@linux.intel.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> I think this logic defeats the purpose of having timer_slack
>>>> subsystem in the first place. IMHO, the original intention was
>>>> to have grouping effect of tasks in the cgroup.
>>>>
>>> You can get the semantics you want by just setting min_slack_ns =
>>> max slack ns.
>>>>
>>> true. it will just make set fail when min = max. it is awkward and
>> counter intuitive when you want to change the group timer_slack. you
>> will have to move both min and max to clamp the value, where set
>>> function can not be used.
>> Interface is very similar to /sys/devices/system/cpu/cpuX/cpufreg.
>> I think it's sane. If you want some extention, you can do it with
> > userspace helper.
> I don't disagree the current interface is usable. Just less intuitive.
> The situation is different for cpufreq, where you
> don't the situation of adding new entries to be adjusted in the
> existing max-min range.
We can probably eliminate the upper end of the range for now -- I don't
```

We can probably eliminate the upper end of the range for now -- I don't see any practical reason for it and we can always add it later if I'm being too short-sighted. :)

We could also probably eliminate the "set" interface and rely solely on prctl() for that. The only thing needed is a "minimum" timer slack applied for the cgroup. We could apply that minimum like the current code does _or_ via the same method as option #2 which you presented below.

>
>
> In addition, when a parent changes min = max, I don't see the

```
>> something?
> >
> > I've missed it. I'll fix.
Sounds good. Allowing child cgroups also allow for nesting of containers or
imposing limits to timer slack on users and all of their containers.
> >
>>> In my use case, i want to put some apps into a managed group where
>>> relaxed slack value is used, but when time comes to move the app
>>> out of that cgroup, we would like to resore the original timer
>> slack. I see having a current value per cgroup can be useful if we
>>> let timer code pick whether to use task slack value or the cgroup
>> slack value. Or we have to cache the old value per task
> >
>> What's mean "original timer slack" if you are free to move a task
>> between a lot of cgroups and process itself free to change it anytime?
> >
> I need to manage tasks by a management software instead of letting the
> task change timer slack by itself. The goal is to make management
> transparent and no modifications to the existing apps. Therefore, it is
Until those apps learn that there's a subsystem they can manipulate
too ;).
> desirable to automatically enforce timer slack when the apps are in the
> cgroup while automatically restore it when it is no longer under cgroup
> management.
> So the "original timer slack" can be the default 50us or whatever value
> chosen by the task itself. But the app itself should not care or even be
> aware of which cgroup it is in.
I don't think anyone is arguing it should.
>
> So here are two optoins i can think of
> 1. add a new variable called cq_timer_slack_ns to struct task_struct{}
> cg timer slack ns will be set by cgroup timer slack subsystem, then we
> can retain the original per task value in timer_slack_ns.
> timer code will pick max(cg_timer_slack_ns, timer_slack_ns) if
> cg_timer_slack_ns is set.
> 2. leave task_struct unchanged, add a current_timer_slack to the
> cgroup, timer slack cgroup does not modify per task timer slack ns.
```

>>> current code enforce new settings on the children. Am i missing

> similar to option #1, let timer code pick the timer slack to use based

> on whether the task is in timer_slack cgroup.

I really like #2 and strongly dislike #1 because cgroup subsystem information should stay out of the task struct as much as possible.

Cheers, -Matt Helsley

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containe rs