

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH, v3 2/2] cgroups: introduce timer slack subsystem

Posted by [Matt Helsley](#) on Sun, 06 Feb 2011 02:49:51 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, Feb 03, 2011 at 11:41:38AM +0200, Kirill A. Shutemov wrote:

> On Wed, Feb 02, 2011 at 09:46:16PM -0800, Matt Helsley wrote:

> > On Wed, Feb 02, 2011 at 10:47:36PM +0200, Kirill A. Shutemov wrote:

> > > From: Kirill A. Shutemov <[kirill@shutemov.name](mailto:kirill@shutemov.name)>

<snip>

> > > diff --git a/kernel/cgroup\_timer\_slack.c b/kernel/cgroup\_timer\_slack.c

> > > new file mode 100644

> > > index 00000000..a343a50

> > > --- /dev/null

> > > +++ b/kernel/cgroup\_timer\_slack.c

<snip>

> > > +static int tslack\_write\_set\_slack\_ns(struct cgroup \*cgroup, struct cftype \*cft,  
> > > + u64 val)

> > > +{

> > > + struct timer\_slack\_cgroup \*tslack\_cgroup;

> > > + struct cgroup\_iter it;

> > > + struct task\_struct \*task;

> > > +

> > > + tslack\_cgroup = cgroup\_to\_tslack\_cgroup(cgroup);

> > > + if (!val || val < tslack\_cgroup->min\_slack\_ns ||

> >

> > Why is a val of 0 disallowed? I know having slack is good, but for

> > an administrator or tool that doesn't care about number of wakeups

> > and cares more about wringing out performance a slack of

> > 0 seems acceptable. Is this just here to be consistent with the

> > values passed in via prctl?

>

> Yes, it's to consistent with the prctl(). I don't think that it's good

> idea to allow to set timer\_slack outside of range prctl() allows. It may

> lead to interface abuse.

Hmm, I was just thinking that 0 timer slack might be useful. But I  
suppose you could just as easily set it to 1 and nobody would notice.

> > > + val > tslack\_cgroup->max\_slack\_ns )

> > > + return -EINVAL;

> >

> > Shouldn't it be EPERM and not EINVAL?

> >

> > The write(2) man page says: "Other errors may occur, depending on the

> > object connected to fd." So I think EPERM is fine and more descriptive.  
>  
> What do you think about -EINVAL for (val == 0) and -EPERM for rest?

OK, that makes sense to me given both of our points above.

Cheers,  
-Matt Helsley

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---