Subject: Re: [patch 2/6] [Network namespace] Network device sharing by view Posted by Sam Vilain on Fri, 30 Jun 2006 01:40:58 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Eric W. Biederman wrote:

- >> Makes sense for the host side to have naming convention tied
- >> to the guest. Example as a prefix: guest0-eth0. Would it not
- >> be interesting to have the host also manage these interfaces
- >> via standard tools like ip or ifconfig etc? i.e if i admin up
- >> guest0-eth0, then the user in guest0 will see its eth0 going
- >> up.
- >>
- > Please no.
- > [...]
- > Now I am open to radically different designs if they allow the
- > implementation cost to be lower and they have clean semantics,
- > and don't wind up being an ugly unmaintainable wart on the linux
- > networking stack. The only route I could imagine such a thing coming
- > from is something like tagging flows, in some netfiler like way.
- > Which might allow ifconfig guest-eth0 from the host without problems.
- > But I have not seen such a design.

>

Right. New tools to support new features would probably be tidier, anyway.

Sam.